

The Sizewell C Project

9.3 SZC Co.'s responses to the Examining Authority's further questions on the relationship between dDCO and the ES

May 2021

Planning Act 2008 Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009 Revision: 1.0

Applicable Regulation: Regulation 5(2)(o)

PINS Reference Number: EN010012



No.	ExA's questions (Annex A of [PD-005])	[AS-006] 16 Nov 2020- Applicant's response to Annex A of [PD-005]	ExA's observations (Annex A of [PD-009])	Applicant's response of 8 Jan 2021 to Annex A of [PD-009]	Questions following the Applicant's responses of 8 Jan 2020 contained in Annex A of [PD-010] The ExA notes that the Applicant is to carry out	Applicant's Response of 4 Feb 2021 to Annex A of [PD-010]	ExA's questions of 23 Feb 2021 in Annex F of Rule 6 Letter [PD-011] 1. Please will the Applicant expand Appendix B (The schedule of	Applicant's Response of 12 May to Annex F of Rule 6 Letter [PD-011]
0(1)	tracensiation inflamentames and inflamentames an	You've have a described in Es Valuera 2, when it is a second of the control of th	Similar Man, 17, 16 En An Access are los Applicator's response (JC-5005). Prof. 17 (10 CoS1) in price of the third topology construction was accessed as the solid on the control of the third topology control of the third to the third topology control of the third topology con	The Application stokes the EAN comments in relation to the application of the Control of the Con	a plan rower. We will deal of the colput could include a clear explanation of where each of the colput could include a clear explanation of where each of the colput could be compared to the colput colput could be compared to the colput colpu	The Against the central and interrupt invessed than figure of the primary (AG-11). Ask his his control model and against control model against control model and against control model and against contr	accesses) so that every access authorised by the DCO, personant or with the plan number of the plant is the plant of the plant is the EV where It is assessed (and the Examination Library number) and the plant is the EV where It is assessed and the Examination Library accessed. The plant is plant in the	L Actics 19(1)(a) exhibition that woulderlake the form and lay set means of access so bigmost existing manner of cents of such other benderlates of this power. It such other benderlates of this power, however, it as abject to the nade for the undertaker first to highway action-try. It has additional experiences of refers to the fact to highway action-try. It has additional experiences of refers to the fact that Actics 19(1) are not yet forces and identification active the control of the control o
8(2)	Conjunct Q, all multi is set out have; The SLA code text the following are issisted in the draft. DCO, Work Na.13. The SLA code text the following are issisted in the draft. DCO, Work Na.13. The SLA code text the following are issisted in the draft. DCO, Work Na.13. The SLA code text the scale of the	What his July and (a) we described in ES Volume 2, July 2004 pp. 23, 247 and	The Agricultural statement is dearen to Article 15 of the order 100 for the Schollengtion - London Papillen KSEP which may be a saudif example. The Agricultural reagence at (A-6.005) to question 8 is America Ad (Fib-0000) with order to the receivable of the two the Agricultural to Nove the 100 for der to the receivable of the control of the Agricultural to Nove the 100 for der to the receivable receivable of the Agricultural topoly is a fact of the vehicle parks it lists in its requirement of clarify of which the base assessed and simple receivable of the 100 for	Liefs to Lief Demonstrate of Approximately and the Conference of t	that operational Car parking was 112 and outage was 576. However, the ainswer went on to say that (5g) — which is operational Car parking — would be amended to say 512. (hh) — outage — would be amended to 512. The EnA notes that the third revision of this 20Ch has 112 operational in (6g) and 576 outage in (hh) [In revision 2 (gg) has of Coursi become (c) and (rhi) has become (d). Peasa become (c) and (rhi) has become (d).	(ii) The Agricultural transport to produce the Scholar's Agricultural transport to the control of a scholar of the scholar of the Agricultural transport to the Scholar of Agricultural transport to the Agricultural tr	(a) These year. (b) 1-(c) Thank year. (c) 1-(c) Thank year. (c) 1-(c) Thank year. (d) 1-(c) Thank year. (d) 1-(c) Thank year. (e) The second year of the cap sating shore plants of the policy of the cap sating shore plants. (e) The second year of the cap sating shore plants. (f) The second year of the cap sating shore plants. (e) The second year of the cap sating shore plants. (f) The second year of the cap sating shore plants. (f) The second year of the cap sating shore plants of the plants of the year of the second year. (g) The second year of the cap sating shore years of the year of years of years of years year year. (e) The year of the year of years year year year year year year year year	(I) Yes, the Applicant is referring to Figure 3 on page 13 of (APP-163). The capacity of the operating is taked within the name chapter at partial partial and the control of the capacity of the operating the capacity of th
8(3)	The East on that the following are lasted in the doff- ing of the control of the	See No. 12(as) is referred to in ES Volume 2 Chapter 3. 3.4.133. 16. Volume 2 Chapter 3 (construction)	The Exemption Authority is not claim where the SS dates in building of the temporary water forces of brigge the best supported in the Applicant Indicises where to find this, and also where to find it in any whateje is to building in the applicant Indicises where to find this, and also where to find it in any whateje is to building in the Indicise and the Commission of the Indicised change request? Please will be Applicant to description deciminates and, in the course, in the middental change request? Please were question 6(2) above.	(1) The Louisian of the Sentourry with research segment and a situation of the Sentourry Coulder Regions 2.2 (2) The reproseed change is the lace Coulder of the Sentourry	ICITY In CA. Amond one par track indepen- vation of ES stud 2 of 3.0 aper of the coupling request. Floats will the Applicant clerify this. ICI 20.7 Years will the Applicant type operate and clarify how and "approximation" or "Appendixed" parentaler in appropriate.	13 The Park Street, revision of ES Shallows 2, Chapter 3 (AP-158) is Agendals 2.3 of the ES Advanced (AS-202). (2) The parameter that has have been second for the other street, street, as with the original location of set out in ES Swinter 3, Object 3, perspected for the proposed role 2.3-1.73 (AP-158). The parameters that have been assessed for the proposed role 2.3-1.72 (AP-158). The parameters that have been assessed for the proposed role 2.3-1.72 (AP-158). The parameters that have been assessed for the proposed role 2.3-1.72 (AP-158). The original colored of the stater revolves and appropriate and appropriate and appropriate that the parameters of the stater revolves an appropriate of the stater revolves recovers storage area and for those mitigation was referred for the stater revolves storage area and for those mitigation was referred for the stater revolves storage area and for those mitigation was referred for the stater revolves storage area and for those mitigation was referred for the feature of the stater revolves the state of the	Preserve will the Applicant confirm the upper limit assessed so that it can be stated in the BCO.	The upper Smith Assessed for the worder resource derivage areas in 2 has ACM (Spreadmarks) and above ground increase. Volume 2, Chapter 3 of the Environmental Statemant (APP-184) will be updated accordinally and elementar in Determine 2, APP-184) will be updated accordinally and elementar in Determine 2, APP-184, will be updated accordinally and elementar in Determine 2, APP-184, and the updated accordinally and elementar in Determine 2, APP-184, and the updated accordinally and elementaria in Determine 2, APP-184, and the updated accordinally and elementaria in Determine 2, APP-184, and the updated accordinally and the Updated APP-184, and the updated accordinally and the Updated APP-184, and the Updated APP-18
	Can the Applicant Celefy the number of (a) or present on the Celefon of (b) and present celefon of (b) and on the celefon of (b) and on the celefon of (b) and on the celefon of (b) and where celefon is the Celefon of (b) and where celefon is the Celefon of (b) and (c) are celefon of (b) and (c) are celefon of (b) and (c) are celefon of (c) and (c) are celefon of (c) and (c) are celefon of (c) are	To Nationa 2 Chapter 3 (contributions) 1000 spears (or from 20 days in the 10 Act 153) 1000 spears (or from 20 days in the 10 Act 153) 1000 spears (or from 20 days in the 10 Act 153) 1000 spears (or from 20 days in the 10 Act 153) 1000 spears (Act			What stores, 5, 5, 4 or 7 have been deduced in the surprise (QR2), stores 3. deduced in the surprise (QR2), stores 3. Against regard to them. The surprise will be Against will be Against with the Stores of the	So 20 monthly to special and 120 years gaining special to that it stips with the description in addition of the special and th	There I Clearem willin Cor Parks). Thank you seek needed Parkses will the Compilation tested the bis manufact of special to excelled in Case the Second Compilation of the Compilation of the Respiration extra Compilation of the Respiration of the Compilation of the Application desired in the Compilation of the Application of the the next darked of the Compilation of the Application of the Compilation of the Compilation of the Compilation of the Tuest 4 - Thank you. The ECA will await the next draft of the OCO.	That 3. The activities car park anxiety Scatter Willia would be improved to a provided 15 additional parking spaces and existence vegetation would be removed to make it less enclosed. The car park surfacing and the access reason is made in the case of the care of the ca

No.	ExA's questions (Annex A of [PD-005])	[AS-006] 16 Nov 2020- Applicant's response to Annex A of [PD-005]	ExA's observations (Annex A of [PD-009])	Applicant's response of 8 Jan 2021 to Annex A of [PD-009]	Questions following the Applicant's	Applicant's Response of 4 Feb 2021 to Annex A of [PD-010]	ExA's questions of 23 Feb 2021 in Annex F of Rule 6 Letter [PD-011]	Applicant's Response of 12 May to Annex F of Rule 6 Letter [PD-011]
			Please see question 8(2) above		Annex A of [PD-010]	NA.		
	10 Can the Applicant clarify the Work in the draft DCO to which ES Paragraph 2.5.26 'Sizewell Replacement car Park' relates?	The replacement car parking described in ES Volume 2, Chapter 2 2.5.26 is the same as the parking described in Work No. 1D(gg).				NIA.		
	11 An Outage car park of 576 spaces is listed in ES Paragraph 2.5.38.	The proposed Sizewell B outage car park assessed in the ES has 576 spaces, as described in Volume 2 Chapter 2, 2,5,38.	Please see question 8(2) above			NA		
	Can the Applicant confirm that this is Work No. 1D(hh) in the draft DCO, and if not, clarify which Work the outage car park relates?	Work No. 1D(gg). The proposed Elakwell 8 outlaps our park assessed in the ES has 56 spaces, as described in Volume 2 Chapter 2, 2.5.38. The replacement Sizewell 8 operational car parking assessed in the ES amounts to 112 pages. The combined number of spaces therefore is 688. The 688 times is specified in Work Nov. 12(gg).						
	The ExA notes that draft DCO does not specify a limit on spaces to be provided and therefore the link is not	We agree that this is an error and will amend the draft DCO accordingly so that 1D(gg) specifies 576 spaces and 1D(hh) specifies 112 spaces.						
	Furthermore, the ExA request that the draft DCO be amounted to include the number of charge for clarity. 12 The draft DCD at Work No. 1D(gg) lists an up to 688	See response to query 11 above.	Please see question 8(2) above					
	space car park'.	See response to query 11 above.	Please see question 8(2) above			NIA.		
	Please can the Applicant clarify where this is captured as part of the description of the Proposed Development in the ES?							
	13 Work No. 1A includes a number of the listed works which are plural in their content which leads to ambiguity for the draft DCO in terms of what permission is being sought for.				une Appricant states restes.	The Applicant his now completed its review of plurals in the description of works in the draft DCO and will incorprate in the next revision specific numbers of plant items/buildings where this information is available.		
	permission is being sought for. Furthermore, this raises issues in relation to the EIA undertaken in understanding the Project Description and ensuring that all Works have been fully assessed.				The Applicant will consider this and amend the draft DCO as necessary. " The second and third revisions of the DCO			
					The second and third revisions of the DCO (submitted on 8 and 12 January 2021) do not appear to have any amendments to address this question.			
	The Applicant is requested to consider this in the next draft DCO and ensure that such Works are limited to being within the envelope of assessment undertaken in				The ExA would be grateful if the Applicant			
	14 The ES describes a 'Northern Mound' in Table 2.3 and ES Paragraphs 2.4.61 to 2.4.65. Can the Applicant	The Northern Mound is part of the hard coastal defence feature (Work No. 1A(o)). The ExA is correct that the			William Hard the ameniment of the flavor		Thank you. The ExA will await the next draft of the DCO.	14 - the Applicant considers that no updates to the draft DCO are required in relation to the clarification that was provided in the
	explain where works to 'a Northern Mound' are secured in the draft DCO? Can the Applicant also confirm that this feature is currently present on the site and is to be extended/increased or whether the	The Northern Mound is part of the hard coastal defence feature (Work No. 1A(o)). The ExA is correct that the Northern Mound is currently present on the side and that it will be fully removed and replaced as part of the proposed development.						14 - the Applicant considers that no updates to the dorft DCO are required in relation to the clurification that was provided in the Applicant's response dated 16 Nov 2020 (AS-006) in response to the Ex's questions in Annex A of the Procedural Decision dated 23 October 2020 (PD-005) given that the hard coastal defence feature is already described in Work No. 1A(o).
								15 - the Applicant considers that no updates to the draft DCO are required in relation to the clarification that was provided on 16 Nov 2020 in [AS-000] in response to the ExA's questions in Annex A of [PD-005] given that the relevant Work No. (1A(p)) and parameter zone (1G) have been identified.
	provided but no further information. The Applicant is requested to provide a fuller description of what is reproposed and therefore what has been assessed so that it is clear that the sotient, limits and week have have	in Work No. 1A(p). The description of development for Parameter Zone 1G is set out in ES Volume 2. Chapter 2 2 5 43-2 5 46						
	15 ES Table 2.5 states 'unspecified amount of associated plant, buildings and infrastructure' a max height is provided but no further information. The Applicant is required to provide a fuller description of what is proposed and therefore with the bean assessed out that it is clear that the extent, limits and uses have been assessed and effects have been identified. The Applicant is also required to dismonstrate where such Works are included in the effect Do.							16 - the Applicant is in the process of reviewing the need for the development comprised in Work No. 1A(f) as standalone development outside of the Main Platform for the power station, and will provide an update on this at Deadline 2.
		The emergency equipment store and backup generator, including the plant referred to in Work No. 1A(i), is in the						17 - Work Nos 1D and 1E have been updated to refer to office accommodation so as to align the descriptions with the ES.
	16 Similarly, can the Applicant provide further explanation in relation to draft DCO Work No. 14(1) which lists "and other plant". Can the Applicant set our parameters and usage of such plant and clarify where this is set out as being assessed in the ES?	The emergency equipment store and backup generator, including the plant referred to in Work No. 1A(I), is in the Upper Abbys Farm area and is in parameter zone 1.14. This parameter zone is further described in ES Volume 2, Chapter 2, 2, 7.10-2,7.12. The Applicant will review the drafting of the DOO in relation to this element of Work No. 1.A to ensure that so fire as possible the relevant						
	17 ES Paragraph 2.5.5 states 'office accommodation for outage staff', the draft DCO Work No. 1D(kk) simply	The reference to "office accommodation" (in the ES) and "office" (in the DCO) should be taken to mean the same.						
	mention of accommodation. Can the Applicant confirm that these two descriptions relate to the same Works? Can the Applicant address, through revisions, the discrepancy and nowide clarification on the assessment	DCO for clarity.						
-	that has been undertaken? 19 Work No. 1D in the draft DCO is listed as "Works accordance with the relectation of contain Signature III.	The Applicant does not consider there to be any actual gaps between the death DCO and the description of the Science III.					Follow up to the Applicant's response dated 16 November 2020 [AS-006] to Annex A of the Procedural Decision dated 23 October 2020 [PD-005]:	Work Nos 1D and 1E have been updated to refer to office accommodation so as to align the descriptions with the ES. The Applicant does not consider any further amendments to these Work Nos.
	power station facilities, to include—". However, "Sizewell B power station facilities" is not a defined	relocated facilities in the ES; however, the Applicant acknowledges that the terminology and subcategories of					The ExA would be grateful if the review of the draft DCO addressing the questions raised could be completed and the update issued by Deadline 1.	Applicant does not consider any further amendments to these Work Nos. are necessary.
	phrase and it does not appear to the ExA that the actual facilities to be relocated are described in the draft DCO. Nor are the replacement facilities, as Work No. 1D is "Works associated with the relocationto include".	The Applicant does not consider there to be any actual gaps between the draft DCO and the description of the Strawell B relocated facilities in the SCI, however, the Applicant voices could be more consistent, so the Applicant will review and update the draft DCO to ensure that the documents are aligned as requested.					questions raised could be completed and the update issued by Deadline 1.	
	Can the Applicant clarify this by updating the draft DCO with greater detail and precision of what is being done? ES Paragraph 2.5.5 'Phasing and Components' includes							
	ES Paragraph 2.5.5 'Phasing and Components' includes some elements not listed in Work No.1D. Can the Applicant address this and explain so as to ensure consistency between the							
		The Applicant considers that the lighting columns described in ES Volume 2					Follow up to the Applicant's response dated 16 November 2020 [AS-006] to Annex A of the Procedural Decision dated 23 October 2020 [PD-005]:	The Applicant considers that no updates to the draft DCO are required in
		Chapter 2, 2.5.29 would be authorised under Sch. 1, Part 2(f) of the draft DCO. However, as stated above, the Applicant agrees to review Work No. 1D more generally and					to Annex A of the Procedural Decision dated 23 October 2020 [PD-005]: The ExA would be grateful if the review of Work No.1D could be completed and the update issued by Deadline 1.	The Applicant considers that no updates to the draft DCO are required in relation to the clarification that was provided on 18 Nov 2020 in [AS-006] in response to the Ex's questions in Annex A of [PD-005] given that, as stataed previously, lighting columns are authorised under Sch. 1, Part 2(j) of the draft DCO.
	24 DC Brancarash 2 C 2C liefts the handsom necess and "	will include this point in its review. The 'western access road' is included in the description of Work No. 1D(gg).	Noted.	Noted.	The description of Work 1D(gg) has been amended for DCD revisions 3.1(A) and 3.1(b).	The Western Access Road forms part of Work No 1D(d) and 1E(d) (see reference to "access roads") in revision 3 of the draft DCO (AS-143).	Noted, Looking at Works Plan Sheet 4 of 27 February 2020 Drawing No.	The roadway leading north from the road known as Sizewell Gap at the
	leading to the laydown area however the ExA note that it is not clear where this is secured through the draft DCO or of which of the authorised Works it forms part		The Examining Authority's current thinking is that Work No. 1D(gg) is somewhat imprecise as it refers to "roads".	Updates to Work No 1D will be made by the Applicant.	Please will the Applicant explain where the		Noted. Looking at Works Plan Sheet 4 of 27 February 2020 Drawing No, SZ/WP/06 (APP-011), is that the roadway leading north from the road known as Sizewell Gap at the access labelled A1/12? If not please will the Applicant supply a plan capable of being incorporated into the DCO.	Western Access Road. The term Western Access Road describes a section of the internal road network within the main development site.
	Can the Applicant clarify this and revise the documentation as necessary?	As stated above, the Applicant agrees to review and update the draft DCO in relation to the car parking numbers and will more generally review Work No 1D to ensure consistency in use of terminology and use of categories of sub-works between the draft DCO and the ES description of	The ExA welcomes the Applicant's commitment to review Work No 1D for consistency with the ES.		Western Access Road is tied into that Work and referred to in the DCO.			highway. It is not considered necessary to list the Western Access Road under Work No.s 1D or 1E as it would fall within Work No. 1D defined in
		development.						The randerly landing and his his hard laters as Silvand Gap at the access labelled ALT on Develoy has, SE(1996) (APP-011) in the Western Access Road. The term Western Access Road describes a five first three three was a second of the second
	23 ES Paragraph 2.7.17 references Kenton Car Park however the ExA note that it is not clear of which Work	Kanton Hills Car Park falls within the area of Work No. 1A. The Applicant will review and update the description of Work No. 14 to include express reference to the Kanton Hills Car Park improvement works.					The ExA notes that the number of car parking spaces has now been omitted from Work No. 1A (cc) in revision 3 of the draft DCO [AS-143]. Surely they should be reinstated and the actual number rather than "up	The Applicant has reinstated the number of car parking spaces in the description of Work No. 1A(cc) in revision 4 of the draft DCO (to be submitted at Deadline 2) as this appears to have been removed in error.
	this forms part, nor how it is secured in the draft DCO. Can the Applicant clarify this and revise the documentation as necessary?	Work No. 1A to include express reference to the Kenton Hills Car Park improvement works.					Surely they should be reinstated and the actual number rather than "up to 15" as stated in Work No.1 (bb) of revision 2 of the draft DCO [AS-055] be recorded. Please deal with this in the next draft of the DCO.	submitted at Deadline 2) as this appears to have been removed in error.
Parts B F	to B. SZC Bk6 ES V3 Ch2 Northern Park and Ride Description of Development.		The ExA welcomes the Applicant's commitments to review Works 9-13 in the draft DCO.	Work Nos 9-13 will be updated in the following ways:	Work No.11 -please will the Applicant note that the ExA will expect a commitment to its	Work No. 11: As explained in paragraph 4.4.6 of the Statement of Resistors [APP-082] submitted with the Application, the Applicant does not consider that the flood compensation land is massary for the preposed development, however it is being put forward as part of the Application in case the Secretary of State disagrees with this position and takes the view that it is	Work No. 11 - Noted. Please will the Applicant include the UpToDate position in the Statement of Common Ground the ExA has required between the Applicant and the Environment Agency.	Work No. 11 - the Applicant confirms that this is now addressed in the Statement of Common Ground with the Environment Agency, to be submitted at Deadline 2.
	The Northern Park and Ride, Work No.9 in the draft DCO, does not reference the creation of car parking			ways: Work No. 9 – While the Applicant has made the change to this Work description to refer to the number of car parkin spaces, it does not consider it to be appropriate to add reference to the potential diversion of the 11kV electric line. Should this work be required, it would be undertaken by the relevant electricity undertaker under their own	to ensure it is provided. Please can the Applicant point to where these are to be found.	Application in case the Secretary of State disagness with this position and takes the view that it is in fact required. There are ongoing discussions between the Applicant, relevant landowners and the Emisternet Assert vanishing the floor convenes and the control of the Contr	between the Applicant and the Environment Agency. Work No 12 -Noted; the ExA would remind the Applicant of the general	submitted at Deadline 2. Work No. 12 - Noted.
	DCO, does not reference the creation of car parking spaces (over 1250 are anticipated by the ES), nor the diversion of a 11KV overhead power line. Can the Applicant review Work No.9 against the ES and where			spaces, it does not consider it to be appropriate to add reference to the potential diversion of the 11KV electric line. Should this work be required it would be undertaken	Work No 12 - Thank you and noted. Please will the Applicant direct the Ev& to the	in fact required. There are ongoing discussions between the Applicant, relevant landowners and the Emiscorner's Agency regarding the flood compensation land. An update on those discussions will be provided for the Examining Authority in dux course, together with ortalist of the proposed machanism for securing the delivery of that land if the Secretary of State considers it to be	advice against tailpieces in Requirements however. Work No 13 - Thank you. The ExA will await the next revision of the	Work No 13 - the Applicant has updated the drafting of Work No. 13 accordingly. Please refer to revision 4 of the draft DCO (to be submitted at Deadline 2) once available.
				powers, rather than by the Applicant.	secured by the DCO.		doco	at Deadline 2) once available.
	limit on the car parking spaces to be provided and ensure that the draft DCO includes the power line diversion, or explain why those amendments would not be appropriate?			Work No. 10 - While the Applicant has made the change to this Work description to refer to the number of car	Work No.13 - the ExA notes that in fact the number of spaces at the FNF is not currently specified in this Work. Please will the Applicant rectify this in the next revision?	A Commission of the desired was a consorted with a consorted was been secured was the Works Plans for Work No. 12 (Sheats 19 to 22) [AS-112]. The Works Plans are approved plans as listed in Schedule 7 of the draft DCC. Requirement 22 requires Work No. 12 to be carried out in		
	C. SZC BK6 ES V4 Ch2 Southern Park and Ride Description of Development			Work No. 10 - While the Applicant has made the change to this Work description to refer to the number of car parking spaces and to the traffic incident management area, it does not consider it to be appropriate to add inference to the potential diversion of the 11kV electric isse. Should this work be required, it would be undertaken	specified in this Work. Please will the Applicant rectify this in the next revision?	Work No. 12. The vertical limits of deviation are set out in Article 4 and secured by Requirement 22 of the width COD (RS-145). The intesteral limits of deviation are secured via the Wrise Pleas No Work No. 12. (1984-11). The intesteral limit of deviation are secured via the Wrise Pleas No. 14 (1984-11). The Wrise Pleas was provided pleas as liable of Wrise No. 14 (1984-11). Which Pleas was provided pleas as liable of executation with the relevant pleas in Schedule? Facility repress accordance with the violent pleas in Schedule? Facility repress accordance with the Associated Development Chapter Pleas (1984-1984), and to the sealest that destined pleas or details evidently to sixty, scale or appearance are submitted by the undertaker and approved by the bod primaring authority.		
	 Noting the issues raised in relation to the Northern Park and Ride above, can the Applicant also review this component of the Southern Park and Ride. In addition to those points noted above, the ExA notes the omission of reference in the draft DCO to 			by the relevant electricity undertaker under their own powers, rather than by the Applicant. Work No. 11 = The Applicant rines not consider there is a		Work No. 13: The Applicant will include the number of parking spaces in the next revision of the draft DCO.		
				need to refer to the flood compensation land development as this would be authorised by Part 2 (a) and (b) of Schedule 1				
	the traffic incident management area. Can the Applicant review the draft DCO against the ES and where appropriate, amend the draft DCO to include the upper limit on the car parking spaces to be provided and ensure that the draft DCO includes the power line							
	ensure that the draft DCD includes the power line diversion and traffic incident management area, or explain why those amendments would not be appropriate?			Work No. 12 – The Applicant considers the description of Work No. 12 provides a suitable description of the works that will be undertaken. The description is clear about what is being proposed, but reflects the flexibility that is allowed for through the limits of deviation and the				
	explain why those amendments would not be appropriate? D. SZC Bk6 V5 Ch2 Description of Two Village Bypass			requirements. The Applicant does not propose to raise the East Suffolk railway line by 2m. The description of				
	D. SZC Bk6 VS Ch2 Description of Two Village Bypass 1. The ExA notes that Work No. 11 "Two Village			requirements. The Applicant does not propose to raise the East Suffolk railway line by Zm. The description of development in the environmental statement does not refer to this, but simply confirms that "The proposed Sizewell link road would rise up on a 2.5m embankment,				

No.	ExA's questions (Annex A of [PD-005])	[AS-006] 16 Nov 2020- Applicant's response to Annex A of [PD-005]	ExA's observations (Annex A of [PD-009])	Applicant's response of 8 Jan 2021 to Annex A of [PD-009]	Questions following the Applicant's responses of 8 Jan 2020 contained in Annex A of [PD-010]	Applicant's Response of 4 Feb 2021 to Annex A of [PD-010]	ExA's questions of 23 Feb 2021 in Annex F of Rule 6 Letter [PD-011]	Applicant's Response of 12 May to Annex F of Rule 6 Letter [PD-011]
	Appear in the oral ECO does not specifically in the Septical Companisation for development. Can Broad Companisation Septical Conference on the Septical Conf			and cross the arisinary at the Indings, to provide sufficient handown an exposing Michael Rail". Work to 1.3 The Applicant does not consider it appropriate to the exceptions of the Secretion of this support of the Company of the Company of the the lower of detail is considered with the defining of the the part and red detail and the support of the part and red detail and the support of the proposate, but development authorises for this description are second as unincentiary executions to suit the execution of the second as a support production to suit the average whether to removing, welfore and security publishing, which the Applicant considers adequate and appropriate.				
Part C			CHP and back-up plant The documentation appears to refer to a wines of attential/one. The documentation appears to refer to a wines of attential/one. The committed has been proposed to the committee of the comm	The Applicate confirme in relation in the advisoromental sensemble of the confirmed confirmed in the confirmed confi	parameter is 36m plus 3.5m tall stack this would exceed the maximum parameter as detailed for construction in Zone CA3 (APP- 022). Please will the Applicant (a) state, what heights	The high research are a school for the in the Application in segment and rest of the control of	Notice d.	As attack disease in the Applicant's requirem to counting 16, the Applicant in its microsoci or relevating the need for this development comprised in Work No. 12(r) as standshore devalopment completed in Work No. 12(r) as standshore devalopment or children of the applicant of the counting of the counting of the county of the counting of the countin
Q2			Adds Yalley Academy Leidann The conft DCO devices we Sports Societies at Work No. 5 as *Landarge works including deen space, agents facilities and washed *Landarge works including deen space, agents facilities and aesociated The location of the above swinds is shown on atheir no. 1.5 of the Works descriptions in the Test Not 2. Dr. 2 (3Ph *180) Checroption of permanent descriptions are the Test Not 2. Dr. 2 (3Ph *180) Checroption of permanent descriptions in the Test Not 2. Dr. 3 (3Ph *180) Checroption of permanent of the space of the Space of the Space of the Space descriptions that Description of the Space of t	The Applicate will against the dath COCD in more interpreta- ping from No. 10. See Will description of descriptional consequence to apply from No. 10. See Will description of description of the control of the control of the control of the control of the southward as permanent per account from plants 1.16.178 (In control of the control of the control of the control of the floodif and soveres not an assessment of the plants are con- trol of the control of the control of the control of the southward of the control of the control of the control to solitor convoid military for dath control of the lands of the control of the control of the control of the control of activating appearance of the fundance paid and includes the control of th	3.1B is part of the Material Change request on which no decision has yet been made. The amendment will need to be carried into the DCO whatever the outcome of the change request.)	The Applicant commits is reviewing the fifth this description and the assessment controlling, parameters required to highling and the archivectured by the EuA. The Applicant outly provide on hydride on this model my Standbox 1.	Nelsod.	The Applicant's review of the descriptions and assessment of Work No. 3 in engine. The Applicant will provide a further update on this matter at beautino 2.